

# Next Gen Geo Prospect Generator Contest Scoring Rubric

Date:

Team Members:

Brief Description: *Commodity, Location, past work highlights*

Criteria	Description	Low ----- High				
Data	<p>How much historical data has been found for the proposed project?</p> <p>Considerations include:</p> <p><i>Drilling (# of holes, total length)</i></p> <p><i>Location of historic core?</i></p> <p><i>Geophysics?</i></p> <p><i>Soil Sampling?</i></p> <p><i>Surface work (stripping/trenching)</i></p> <p><i>Quality of assessment files (level of detail, completeness, scan quality, georeferencing suitability)</i></p> <p><i>Has the team explored alternative sources of data e.g. calling regional geologists to get data not available online, calling companies that have previously worked in the area, etc.</i></p>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>
Notes/Feedback on Data						

<p>Geology / Mineralization</p>	<p>How prospective is the proposed project from a geological perspective?          Considerations include:  <i>Best grade/interval?</i>  <i>Repeatability of high grades?</i>  <i>Identified geologic/structural controls?</i>  <i>Size: strike length, depth, width</i>  <i>Any historic resource figures?</i>  <i>Strike relationships to other deposits?</i>  <i>Is there a lack of data but a good reason to think the ground could be prospective?</i></p>	<p><u>1</u></p>	<p><u>2</u></p>	<p><u>3</u></p>	<p><u>4</u></p>	<p><u>5</u></p>
---------------------------------	---	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------

Notes/Feedback on Geology

<p>Location &amp; Access</p>	<p>Is the location of the project amenable to cheap exploration and mine development?          Considerations include:  <i>Infrastructure (road/trail/boat/air etc)</i>  <i>Deposit access (Surface exposure? Blind deposit? Lies beneath swamp?)</i></p>	<p><u>1</u></p>	<p><u>2</u></p>	<p><u>3</u></p>	<p><u>4</u></p>	<p><u>5</u></p>
------------------------------	---	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------

Notes/Feedback on Location

<p>Social &amp; Environmental</p>	<p>Does the group demonstrate an understanding of the social and environmental issues that could impact exploration work?          Considerations include:  <i>Staking restrictions</i>  <i>Native consultation</i>  <i>Local communities</i>  <i>Environmental permits/liabilities</i></p>	<p><u>1</u></p>	<p><u>2</u></p>	<p><u>3</u></p>	<p><u>4</u></p>	<p><u>5</u></p>
-----------------------------------	---	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------

Notes/Feedback on Social & Environmental

<p>Presentation Quality</p>	<p>How well did the group present their idea?          Considerations include:  <i>Fluent and knowledgeable, clearly articulate ideas, appropriate use of vocabulary at a general level, engaging, body language, organized, easy to follow, good use of visuals, enthusiastic &amp; convincing</i></p>	<p><u>1</u></p>	<p><u>2</u></p>	<p><u>3</u></p>	<p><u>4</u></p>	<p><u>5</u></p>
-----------------------------	---	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------

Notes/Feedback on Presentation Quality